Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,229	KIM, YOUNG-CHAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew C. Bella	2676
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Matthew C. Bella.	(3) <u>David</u> Cv +	itla
(2) Mike Rahmjoo.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 August 2007</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: au to record		
Identification of prior art discussed: &		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Discussed the tackground of the inventori.		
The Examine will review the new claim amendments		
in detail. Specifically, "a video signal abnormally		
differs from King etal (5.644,225) and Yamakawa chal		
(5, 809, 366) Marks (. Bella		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  Attachment to a signed Office action  MATTHEW C. BEELAminer's signature, if required		